

**PI - Objection to planning P130119 proposed travellers site at the Old Water Works, Standing Stones Dyce.**

**From:** Grace Hendry [REDACTED]  
**To:** "pi@aberdeencity.gov.uk" <pi@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 04/03/2013 13:58  
**Subject:** Objection to planning P130119 proposed travellers site at the Old Water Works, Standing Stones Dyce

To whom this may concern,

I wish to object to the proposed planning for a traveller's site at the above property. My farm is adjacent to property where I have lived 46 years and I was not notified nor was the landlord of the estate notified of the proposed planning application. Firstly there has already been illegal activity by Mr Stewart by digging a trench for water and electricity supply through private property without the landowners consent and setting a permanent pitch without the necessary planning permission. It is a private road and unfit for additional vehicular traffic no footpath for people to walk therefore a danger to pedestrians and also not on a bus route. I question what will happen with their foul drainage, sewage and rubbish. I have no wish to look onto an eye sore. There are already traveller sites at Clinterty Aberdeenshire and Pitmedden Road Dyce where there has been a gun incident. I do not wish to live in an area where such incidents take place and illegal activity and in fear of my own safety. Also at the Clinterty site the locals have been burgled. As my fields are adjacent there is nothing to stop other travellers pitching their caravans in these fields.

The previous planning application for a dwelling house was refused and therefore the same consideration should be the same.

Yours Faithfully

Grace Hendry  
 Howemoss Farm  
 Dyce

**SRUC: Leading the way in Agricultural and Rural Research, Education and Consultancy.**

Scotland's Rural College - SRUC was formed on 1 October 2012 by the merger of Barony, Elmwood and Oatridge Colleges and SAC (Scottish Agricultural College).

Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to.

This e-mail message is confidential to the intended recipient at the email address to which it has been addressed. If the message has been received by you in error, it may not be disclosed to or used by anyone other than the intended addressee, nor may it be copied in any way. If it is not intended for you please inform us, immediately, then delete it from your system. If the content is not about the business of the organisation then the message is not from us nor is it sanctioned by us. Anything in this e-mail or its attachments which does not relate to SRUC's or SAC Commercial Limited's official business is neither given nor endorsed by SRUC or SAC Commercial Limited.

SRUC

A Charitable company limited by guarantee, Scottish Charity Number: [REDACTED]

Registered in Scotland, Company Number: SC103046 - Registered Office: Peter Wilson Building, King's Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JG

SAC Commercial Limited, an SRUC company

Registered in Scotland, Company Number: SC148684 - Registered Office: Peter Wilson Building, King's Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JG

Planning & Sustainable Development	
Mail ID	28894
RECEIVED	07 MAR 2013
REPLY	28/3/13
Section	DM
Officer	RF

FAXED

# peterkinssolicitors

Aberdeen City Council  
Development Management, Enterprise Planning &  
Infrastructure  
Aberdeen City Council  
Business Hub 4  
Marischal College  
Broad Street  
Aberdeen  
AB10 1AB

our ref P W Macallan/KQ  
your ref

email  
date 01 March 2013

Direct Dial: [REDACTED]

FAX: [REDACTED]

Planning & Sustainable Development	
Mail ID	28869
RECEIVED	- 4 MAR 2013
REPLY	/ /
Section	DM
Officer	RF

Dear Sirs

Mrs E S Wilson's Trust  
Howemoss Farm, Dyce, Aberdeen, AB21 0HJ  
Planning Application Reference: P130119

With reference to the above we write on behalf of our above named clients who own farms at Howemoss and Standing Stones at Dyce.

It has been brought to our attention by the tenant of Howemoss Farm that the above planning application has been made.

We note that the period allowed for representation has expired however we must advise that at no stage did the landlord receive the requisite Planning Notice which should have been served on them as adjoining proprietors.

Despite the expiry of that time limit we would wish to make the following representations:-

- 1 No Planning Application Notice was served on the landlord to give the chance to make representations.
- 2 We believe that the proposal for the site is contrary to local policy.

aberdeen • inverurie • huntly • keith • alford

partners: graham g. matthews, paul w. macallan, philip e. anderson, harley w. lamden, barbara a. munson, linda a. purkis, robert g. w. davidson, gordon w. mcallum, karen p. simpson  
associates: shoun mackintosh, consultants: alan j. jones, fiona a. bowman, david black, russell spence, bryan keenan, tom duncan, director of corporate law: thomas g. renzie  
practice manager: paul clark



- 3 We believe that the proposal will have an adverse impact on amenity particularly when already unauthorised works have been carried out without the benefit of planning permission and damage has been caused to the local environment as a consequence of the unlawful occupation and use of the site. We have no reason to believe that this position will be improved by the addition of a significant additional number of vehicles accessing and using the site.
- 4 Such an increase in use on a road which is not designed for the amount of traffic will have an adverse affect on access and road safety for the current lawful users of the access road.
- 5 We believe the use is incompatible with other uses in the area which are predominantly agricultural and industrial/commercial.
- 6 We note that there is currently consultation under way regarding the provision of traveller accommodation in the City and Shire and therefore this additional accommodation should be unnecessary.

We appreciate that these representations fall outwith the period stipulated however in the circumstances we feel that consideration should be given to the views of the landowners and tenants given that no adequate Notification was made that the Planning Application was to be submitted.

We would respectfully suggest that the Application should be refused, at the very least, so that a new Application can be made with the proper Notification given.

Yours faithfully



P&SD Letters of Representation		
Application Number: 130119		
RECEIVED 04 MAR 2013		
Nor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sou <input type="checkbox"/>	MAp <input type="checkbox"/>
Case Officer: Initials: REF		
Date Acknowledged: 7/3/13		

*[Faint handwritten notes]*